Interview Summary

Application No.

O9/944,511

Examiner

James Arnold, Jr.

Applicant(s)

LOMAS, DAVID A.

Art Unit

1764

	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Arnold, Jr.	1764
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) <u>James Arnold, Jr.</u>	(3) Walter Griffin.	
(2) Jim Paschall.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 September 2003.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ l	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>We discussed the use of the same catalyst in dual reactors of a reformulation process.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	o copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 1 GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, C FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office action has already DR THE MAILING DATE OF TH FOF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: Volumist sign this form inless it is an Eq. (),

Examiner analysis to explain